

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/899,554 | 07/05/2001 | Arul A. Menezes | M61.12-0366 | 1641 |
| 27366 7: | 590 12/11/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) | | | VO, HUYEN X | |
| SUITE 1400 | | | | |
| 900 SECOND | AVENUE SOUTH | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| MINNEAPOLI | IS, MN 55402-3319 | 26 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 12/11/2006 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/899,554 | MENEZES ET AL. | • | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Huyen X. Vo | 2626 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet v | vith the correspondence address | - | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | , | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | 10 October 2006 | | | | | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| .,= | , — | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice une | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| · | ation | · | | | | | |
| | ✓ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | • | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subjected to: | nd/or election requirement | | | | | | |
| • | najor election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | ~ | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2002</u> is | | | , | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | | | (d). | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | e Examiner. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docur | | N | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docur | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | · | received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a | , , , , | raceived | | | | | |
| occ the attached detailed office action for a | a list of the certified copies no | received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) [_] Other: | · | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/10/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. Regarding claim 1-41, a computer-implemented method is considered a computer program, which is non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that Takeda fails to teach the limitations of claim 42, Takeda fully anticipates every limitation claimed in claim 42 in that analyze the input text to determine a one-for-many correspondence to the constituents by a graph structure (col. 6, lines 60-67). Mappings between source language and target language are indicated in bilingual translation information table (col. 7, lines 45-54). Each element in the source language is apparently associated or corresponded with one or more elements in the target language. Once the system completes analyzing the input text, selection of target language words is performed using bilingual dictionary. So, the mapping of dependency structure is the selection of target language words corresponds to words of the input text. Thus, examiner maintains previous grounds of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 5. Claims 1-41 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 6. Claims 1-41 are drawn to a "program" per se as recited in the preamble (computer-implemented method), and as such is non-statutory subject matter. See MPEP § 2106.IV.B.1.a. Data structures not claimed as embodied in computer readable media are descriptive material per se and are not statutory because they are not capable of causing functional change in the computer. See, e.g., Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1361, 31 USPQ2d at 1760 (claim to a data structure per se held nonstatutory). Such claimed data structures do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and other claimed aspects of the invention, which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized. In contrast, a claimed computer readable medium encoded with a data structure defines structural and functional interrelationships between the data structure and the computer software and hardware components which permit the data structure's functionality to be realized, and is thus statutory. Similarly, computer programs claimed as computer listings per se, i.e., the descriptions or expressions of the programs are not physical "things." They are neither computer components nor statutory processes, as they are not "acts" being performed. Such claimed computer programs do not define any structural and functional interrelationships between the computer program and other claimed elements of a computer, which permit the computer program's functionality to be realized.

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 8. Claims 42-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Takeda et al. (US 5477450).
- 9. Regarding claim 42, Takeda et al. disclose a computer readable media having information thereon for a computer-implemented machine translation system to translate text from a first language to a second language, the information comprising: a plurality of mappings, each mapping indicative of associating a dependency structure of the first language with a dependency structure of the second language (col. 6, lines 60-67, "main element has a one-for-many correspondence to the constituents" indicates mappings between source language and target language, example shown in col. 7, lines 45-49, where each word in the source language corresponds to more than one words in the target language), wherein at least some of the mappings correspond to dependency structures of the first language having varying context with some common elements (col. 10, lines 5-17, different word combinations have different context), and associated dependency structures of the second language to the dependency structures of the first language also having varying context with some common elements (col. 10, lines 5-17, different word combinations have different context).

Art Unit: 2626

10. Regarding claims 43-44, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42, wherein the dependency structures of said at least some of the mappings have two and three common elements in each of the languages (*col. 10, lines 5-17, col. 7, lines 45-49*).

Page 5

- 11. Regarding claim 45, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein the information includes information indicative of a size of each dependency structure of the first language (*graph structure 1 in col. 7, lines 10-17 indicates the size of the dependency structure of the first language*).
- 12. Regarding claim 46, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein the information includes information indicative of an extent of a complete alignment of the dependency structures of the first language originating from a larger dependency structure (col. 7, lines 45-54, one to many alignments between source language and target language).
- 13. Regarding claim 47, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein the information includes information indicative of a frequency the dependency structure occurred in training data (*col.* 9, *lines* 15-35).

Art Unit: 2626

14. Regarding claim 48, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein the information includes information indicative of a type of training

data (it is English language dictionary since translation from Japanese into English).

- 15. Regarding claim 49, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein the information includes information indicative of an extent of the dependency structures originating from a complete parse of the corresponding training data (col. 7, lines 45-54, one to many correspondence alignments and col. 8, line 1 to col. 10, line 27 further processes upon one to many correspondence alignments to determine the best correspondence using rules stored in database).
- 16. Regarding claim 50, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein the information includes information indicative of score related to confidence of alignment of the corresponding dependency structure (*col. 10, lines 31-49, probabilistic determination*).
- 17. Regarding claims 51-52, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 42 wherein at least some of the mappings are indicative of corresponding dependency structures having an element that can vary (*col. 8, lines 1-5 and graph structure 1 in col. 7*), wherein the element comprises an under-specified node indicating a part of speech but no specific lemma (*col. 7, lines 45-49*).

Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

18. Regarding claims 53-54, Takeda et al. further disclose the computer readable media of claim 51 wherein the element comprises an under-specified node indicating neither a specified part of speech nor a specific lemma (*figure 3D*), and wherein the element comprises an under-specified node indicating at least one specific syntactic or semantic feature but no specific lemma (*referring to figures 3A-D*).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen X. Vo whose telephone number is 571-272-7631. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571-272-7602. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2626

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HXV

12/4/2006

RICHEMOND DORVIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

Page 8